

MAY 2 4 1996
WATER RIGHTS

JAN GRAHAM ATTORNEY GENERAL

CAROL CLAWSON Solicitor General REED RICHARDS
Chief Deputy Attorney General

PALMER DEPAULIS
Chief of Staff

## MEMORANDUM

TO:

LEE SIM, Assistant State Engineer

FROM:

MABEY, JR., Assistant Attorney General

DATE:

May 23, 1996

RE:

Gunnison Irrigation Company's Storage Rights in Gunnison Reservoir

I am enclosing a copy of a letter from Steven E. Clyde dated May 22, 1996, which is in response to your letter of April 23, 1996.

Please note that the letter requests that the letter be placed in the file for future reference.

## **Enclosure**

cc: Kirk Forbush, Regional Engineer - Richfield

## CLYDE, SNOW & SWENSON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
ONE UTAH CENTER, SUITE 1000
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111-2208

EDWARD W. CLYDE (1917-1991)

OF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE (801) 322-2516 FAX (801) 521-6280

May 22, 1996

Mr. John Mabey, Jr., Esq. Assistant Attorney General 1636 West North Temple Suite 300

Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

RECEIVED

MAY 2 3 1996

ATTORNEY GENERAL Natural Resource Division

Re: Gunnison Irrigation Company

Dear John:

RODNEY G. SNOW

STEVEN E. CLYDE HAL N. SWENSON

EDWIN C. BARNES

STEPHEN B. DOXEY

AMANDA DICKSON SEEGER

GARY L. PAXTON NEIL A. KAPLAN\* D. BRENT ROSE

WILLIAM VOGEL

Gunnison Irrigation Company appreciates receiving Lee Sim's letter of April 23, 1996, in which Lee responds to the concerns expressed in my letter to you of March 25, 1996, regarding Gunnison Irrigation Company's storage right in Gunnison Reservoir, and the Division of Water Rights' position in that regard.

We simply reiterate Gunnison Irrigation Company's position for the record as follows: That the Cox Decree, in clear and unambiguous language, provides that Gunnison may store, withdraw and then refill the reservoir each year. Under this language, the right to store water is limited only by the water supply available each year and the physical storage capacity of the reservoir. The storage right is not limited to a total quantity of 20,264.2 acre feet each year.

We are not asking for a further response from the Division, as we agree with Lee's assessment that it is not worth the expenditure of further resources for the Division or the parties involved to do so. We would simply appreciate this letter being placed in the file so that the company's position is clearly stated to avoid the appearance of any acquiescence by the company in Lee's assessment that the Cox Decree leaves room for interpretation on this issue.

## CLYDE, SNOW & SWENSON

Mr. John Mabey, Jr., Esq. May 22, 1996 Page 2

If you have any questions about this, please give me a call.

Best regards.

Very truly yours,

YDZ/SNOW & SWENSON, P.C.

Steven E. Clyde

CC: Eugene Jensen

D. Brent Rose, F.

D. Brent Rose, Esq.